

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF  
TENNESSEE

DR GWIN ANDERSON

Plaintiffs )  
              )  
              )  
v.           )      No.: 1:23-cv-01047-JDB-jay  
              )

STATE AUTO MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY  
AND RL YOUNG LLC, ENGLE MARTIN & ASSOCIATES LLC  
CHRIS WILLIAMSON, MATTHEW BUCKNER           )

Defendants     )  
              )  
              )

---

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO REMAND AND FOR ATTORNEY  
FEES AND EXPENSES**

---

**I. INTRODUCTION**

This civil action arises out of an insurance coverage dispute. The Defendant filed its notice of removal with non-diverse parties subject to joinder and was thus objectively unreasonable.

**II. RELEVANT FACTS**

The Defendants forthrightly admit that Bucker and Williamson are non-diverse defendants<sup>1</sup>.

DE 1.

**III. ANALYSIS**

**1. Relevant Statutory Provisions**

Tenn. Code Ann. § 56-53-103 provides in relevant part that:

Any person who commits, participates in, or aids, abets, or conspires to commit, or solicits another person to commit, or permits its employees or its agents to commit

---

<sup>1</sup> Although not joined at this time, Plaintiff expects to issue process and serve these defendants timely.

any of the following acts with an intent to induce reliance, has committed an unlawful insurance act:

a. Presents, causes to be presented, or prepares with knowledge or belief that it will be presented, to ..... an insurance professional .....in connection with an insurance transaction ..... any information that the person knows to contain false representations, or representations the falsity of which the person has recklessly disregarded, as to any material fact, or that withholds or conceals a material fact, concerning any of the following:

.....

i. .....

ii. A claim for payment or benefit pursuant to any insurance policy;

iii. Payments made in accordance with the terms of any insurance policy; or

.....

2. It shall be unlawful for any person to commit, or to attempt to commit, or aid, assist, abet or solicit another to commit, or to conspire to commit an unlawful insurance act.

Tenn. Code Ann. § 56-53-101 provides in relevant part that:

4. “Insurance professional” means .....adjusters.....

5. “Insurance transaction” means a transaction by, between or among:

- a. An insurer or a person who acts on behalf of an insurer; and
  - b. An insured, claimant, applicant for insurance, public adjuster, insurance professional, practitioner, or any person who acts on behalf of an insured, claimant, applicant for insurance, public adjuster, insurance professional, or practitioner; for the purpose ..... negotiating or adjusting a claim.....
8. “Person” means a natural person, company, corporation, unincorporated association, partnership, professional corporation, agency of government and any other entity;

## 2. Tennessee Statutory Construction Principles

Clear and unambiguous statutes will be enforced according to their clear terms. *Lind v. Beaman Lodge, Inc.*, 356 S.W.3d 889, 895 (Tenn. 2011). Every word of the statute will be given effect. *Id.* New statutory provisions that do not repeal or over-rule pre-existing law by express terms or implication are cumulative. *Johnson v. Hopkins*, 432 S.W.3d 840, 848 (Tenn. 2013). The Courts presume the Legislature knew the law when it enacted statutory provisions. *Sneed v. City of Red Bank, Tennessee*, 459 S.W.3d 17, 23 (Tenn. 2014). Statutes involving the same subject matter are construed *in pari materia*. *Graham v. Caples*, 325 S.W.3d 578, 582 (Tenn. 2010). Specific statutes control over general statutes. *Id.* At 582. Judicial construction of statutes become part of the statute. *Blank v. Olsen*, 662 S.W. 2d 324, 326 (Tenn. 1983) and *Hill v. City of Germantown*, 31 S.W.3d 234, 239-240 (Tenn. 2000).

Remedial statutes provide a means or method where wrongs can be addressed and relief obtained. *In the Matter of MAH*, 142 S.W.3d 267, 273 (Tenn. 2004). Remedial legislation is

construed liberally. *Ferguson v. Middle Tennessee State University*, 451 S.W.3d 375, 381 (Tenn. 2014).

In *Craighead v. Bluecross Blueshield of Tennessee*<sup>2</sup>, 2008 WL 3069320 (M2007-01697-COA-R3-CV), Slip Op. at 3 (Tenn. Ct. App. July 1, 2008), the Tennessee Court of Appeals held that the unlawful insurance act applies to anyone who commits an unlawful insurance act. It reach was not limited to insured's only. *Id.* In *Green, et al v. Mutual of Omaha*, 2:10-cv-2487, Slip Op at 25 (W.D. Tenn. January 13, 2011)<sup>3</sup>, this Court found that the Unlawful insurance act applied to insurers.

### 3. Sixth Circuit Fraudulent Joinder Standard

In order to establish fraudulent joinder in particular, the removing defendants must show that there is no colorable cause of action against that party. *Chambers v. HSBC Bank, N.A.*, 796 F.3d 561, 564-566 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2015). The question is whether there is arguably a reasonable basis for predicting that the state law might impose liability on the facts involved. *Id.* The removing party bears the burden of proof on removal and all doubts are resolved in favor of remand. *Eastman v. Marine Mech Corp.*, 438 F.3d 544, 549-550 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2006).

### 4. Application to case at bar.

This Court is bound by *West* and should be persuaded by its own precedent that defendant cannot meet its burden to prove that Plaintiffs cannot colorably establish liability against Bucker and Williamson under the unlawful insurance act. Moreover, *Craighead* has become part of the statute consistent with *Blank* and *Hill*. Finally, § 56-53-103 is remedial legislation and liberally construed consistent with *Ferguson*.

---

<sup>2</sup> The Court is not free to ignore this opinion. *West v. American Telephone & Telegraph*, 311 US 223, 237 (1940).

<sup>3</sup> A copy of this opinion is attached as Exhibit 1 herewith.

5. Acuity's removal was objectively unreasonable

In *Powers v. Cottrell, Inc.*, 758 F.3d 509, 520 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2013), the Court held that when caselaw was clear that removal was not warranted, then the removing Defendant was subject to a payment of fees and expenses. Applying *Powers*, the caselaw was sufficiently clear that Buckner and Williamson were not fraudulently joined and should be warrant the payment of fees and expenses as objectively unreasonable.

IV. CONCLUSION

The Court should grant the Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand this civil action to state court and award the payment of fees and expenses.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Drayton D. Berkley  
Drayton D. Berkley, Esq.  
Berkley Law Firm, PLLC  
[attorneyberkley@gmail.com](mailto:attorneyberkley@gmail.com)  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
P.O. Box 771048  
Memphis, TN 38177

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned attorney, do hereby certify that the foregoing document has been served upon the following counsel of record or parties pro se in this cause **ONLY BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION** to the following:

**PARKS T. CHASTAIN**  
Registration No. 13744  
DIRECT: (615) 630-7717  
(615) 256-8787, Ext. 114  
[pchastain@bkblaw.com](mailto:pchastain@bkblaw.com)

**MATTHEW B. ROGERS**

Registration No. 038777  
DIRECT: (615) 630-7725  
(615) 256-8787, Ext. 121  
[mrogers@bkblaw.com](mailto:mrogers@bkblaw.com)

**BREWER, KRAUSE, BROOKS & CHASTAIN, PLLC**

545 Mainstream Drive, Suite 101  
Nashville, TN 37228

**Katherine I. McLaughlin**

150 N. Meramec Avenue  
Ste. 400  
St. Louis, MO 63105  
314-721-2525  
Email: kmclaughlin@jenkinskling.com  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*PRO HAC VICE*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Michael P Stephens**

150 N. Meramec Avenue  
Suite 400  
St. Louis, MO 63105  
314-721-2525  
Email: mstephens@jenkinskling.com  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*PRO HAC VICE*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Grace A. Fox**

SIMS FUNK, PLC  
3322 West End Ave.  
Ste #200  
Nashville, TN 37203  
615-292-9335  
Email: gfox@simsfunk.com  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

This, the 1st day of May 2023

/s/Drayton D. Berkley  
Drayton D. Berkley

